

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4256
09/285,879	04	4/07/1999	YOSHIFUSA TOGAWA	614.1957	
21171	7590	01/07/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				EXAMINER	
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	,		THAI, XUAN MARIAN		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2181	-1 🚓
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. FN

<u> </u>	Applicati p No	Applicant(s)
	Applicati n No.	1
Advisory Action	09/285,879	TOGAWA, YOSHIFUSA
	Examiner	Art Unit
TI MAU NO DATE SULL CONTROL SASSA	XUAN M. THAI	2181
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
THE REPLY FILED December 18, 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application appli	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amount f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🖾 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		XUAN M. THAI Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2181

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

2

Application No. 009/285,879

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations to the amended claims e.g. the limitation "wherein the plurality of driving means is not included within a processor" in claim 6 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. In addition, there is no support in the Specification for the added limitation.

Continuation of 10. Other: The rejection of claims 1 and 4 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, has not been addressed in the Amendment..